

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/822,276	04/12/2004	James Alfred White		5667
7590 10/29/2010 JAMES ALFRED WHITE			EXAMINER	
909 HWY 1204			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
PINEVILLE, I	LA 71360-2912		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/822,276 WHITE, JAMES ALFRED Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Kenneth Peterson 3724 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers

10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a)□ All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attach	nment(s)
1) 🛛	Notice o

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTC
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/00)	 Notice of Informal Patent

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Art Unit: 3724

1. The amendment filed 06 Oct 10 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

The newly received specification is rife with new matter. For example, in the paragraph spanning pages 1 and 2, the recitations that "these screw threads are widely employed in static applications as fasteners as with bolts and nuts" and "the 60 degree angle" and "Manufacturing techniques and processes were employed to adapt the 3/8" - 16 threads per inch screw threads for use as translation threads" are all new matter. The remainder of the specification also contains numerous instances of new matter that did not appear in the original specification, original drawings or original claims. The specification and abstract should be carefully reviewed to remove all new matter.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, as discussed above.
- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/822,276

Art Unit: 3724

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (5,784,942) in view of Johnson (4,956,189) and Lee et al.(4,721,625)

Jones shows:

A means for slicing having a cutting element (116),

a drive spindle (108),

Jones discloses that his drive spindle should have "greater than about 10 threads per inch" (line 33, column 4) to yield chips "less than 0.1 inches" thick. While this puts Jones in the same range as Applicant, it does explicitly disclose the 16 threads per inch claimed by Applicant. However, it is clear from Jones that the pitch of the threading would correlate to the thickness of the potato slice. Examiner takes Official Notice that there are a variety of thicknesses currently available in the potato chip market. For example, Johnson suggests 0.062 inches (line 41, column 4), which correlates to about 16 threads per inch. As a further example, Lee suggests 0.05-0.075 inches (line 6, column 3), whose midrange correlates to about 16 threads per inch. Given this known desire to create various thickness potato chips, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified Jones by setting his threading for a known chip thickness, such as 0.062, resulting in 16 threads per inch.

The use a of a 3/8 inch spindle, as opposed to a 1/4 inch or 1/2 inch spindle, yields no unexpected results and can be selected by the manufacturer based on the desired expense and durability.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,276

Art Unit: 3724

 Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Kenneth Peterson whose telephone number is
(571)272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30AM-5PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,276 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kenneth Peterson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724